

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/071,510	CLARK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Maria Laccotripe Zacharakis, Ph.D., J.D.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 September 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to meet the written description and/or enablement requirements; rejection of claims 5 and 12 under § 112, second paragraph, as depending from cancelled claims.*

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The Examiner proposed entry of an Examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance; Dr. Zacharakis authorized entry of the proposed amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)